
doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Hysterical leftwing global warmers must DIE - 2009/11/05 16:38

Written in 1972, at the height of the ridiculous scares produced by idiot enviro-faggots. Like death by over-population, death by DDT, death by an ice-age(!), death by oil depletion, etc, etc. Do the leftist fringe weirdos EVER get tired of being wrong??

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Roger Coppock - 2009/11/05 16:38

idiot enviro-faggots. Like death by over-population, death by DDT, death by an ice-age(!), death by oil depletion, etc, etc. Do the leftist fringe weirdos EVER get tired of being wrong??

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Hysterical leftwing global warmers must DIE - 2009/11/05 16:38

Why do rightist books, movies, and other materials fail so miserably in the free market? The same free market that the rightists love. Amazon.com will connect you with someone in Humboldt, CA, who will give you this book for a penny plus shipping. If you want to pay premium prices, someone else charges \$0.24. You better hurry! These prices are below the pulp price of the paper the book is printed on. There are 2,353,792 books that Amazon.com is now selling more copies of than this book. Please see: <http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0070394288/sr=8-1/qid=1155990232/ref...> If you would rather read a winner on this same issue, Amazon also sells, An Inconvenient Truth. The book was written in 1972. Where do you think Gore's book will be in 34 years? Likely, it will have been recycled as part of fireplace logs and long since been converted to CO2!!!!!!!

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Roger Coppock - 2009/11/05 16:38

Why do rightist books, movies, and other materials fail so miserably in the free market? The same free market that the rightists love. Amazon.com will connect you with someone in Humboldt, CA, who will give you this book for a penny plus shipping. If you want to pay premium prices, someone else charges \$0.24. You better hurry! These prices are below the pulp price of the paper the book is printed on. There are 2,353,792 books that Amazon.com is now selling more copies of than this book. Please see: <http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0070394288/sr=8-1/qid=1155990232/ref...> If you would rather read a winner on this same issue, Amazon also sells, An Inconvenient Truth. The book was written in 1972. Where do you think Gore's book will be in 34 years? No, it won't. Right-turd books rot like the shit they are. For example, Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit, written in 1992, still has several editions in print. The Hardcover is Amazon sales #20,826. One of the paperback editions is #98,553. <http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0618056645/sr=8-4/qid=1156006690/ref...> A couple of more examples of long lived environmental books: Carson's, Silent Spring, and Ehrlich's Population Bomb were written half-a-century ago, but they are still in more than one new edition per title. They're selling audio and video documentary, too. One edition of Silent Spring is Amazon #1,636. So why should anyone waste time with your old dirty anti-environmental industry PR trash, when there are so many clean winners to read? Half-century later and they are still in new editions! Can you name an anti-environmentalist book so long lived? NO, because history has judged the anti-environmentalists to be a bunch of jerks.

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Hysterical leftwing global warmers must DIE - 2009/11/05 16:38

A couple of more examples of long lived environmental books: Carson's, Silent Spring, and Ehrlich's Population Bomb were written half-a-century ago, but they are still in more than one new edition per title. They're selling audio and video documentary, too. One edition of Silent Spring is Amazon #1,636. You mean Rachel Carsen's death-warrant for the Africans, banning DDT and allowing 30 million (at a minimum) people to die in the process from malaria, all to save some f

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Roger Coppock - 2009/11/05 16:38

You mean Rachel Carsen's death-warrant for the Africans, banning DDT and allowing 30 million (at a minimum) people to die in the process from malaria, all to save some f

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by James - 2009/11/05 16:38

You mean Rachel Carsen's death-warrant for the Africans, banning DDT and allowing 30 million (at a minimum) people to die in the process from malaria, all to save some f

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Roger Coppock - 2009/11/05 16:38

Why do the enviros buy debunked, left wing books Roger. Because they are stupid, still wishing and dreaming. HH EE LL LL OO James! Read the thread, before adding your comment. You'll look a lot less stupid that way. The environmental books are not in any way debunked. In comparison to their anti-environmental equivalent, they the environmental books are classics.

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by James - 2009/11/05 16:38

Why do the enviros buy debunked, left wing books Roger. Because they are stupid, still wishing and dreaming. HH EE LL LL OO James! Read the thread, before adding your comment. You'll look a lot less stupid that way. The environmental books are not in any way debunked. In comparison to their anti-environmental equivalent, they the environmental books are classics. Yeah. Paul Erlich sure turned us all on didn't he? Scare stuff does that sort of thing.

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Roger Coppock - 2009/11/05 16:38

sort of thing. Patrick Michaels, Meltdown. (Oct 2005) Amazon Rank #262,264 262,263 books sell better than this less than one year old paperback work published by Cato. It'll be pulp in another year or two. Meanwhile, Ehrlich's population bomb, published in 1968, is in several editions of reprint and has also gone into audio and video. Ehrlich's book is a classic 4 decades later. Michaels' book will be scrapped, not worth the paper it's printed on, just a few years after it was published.

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Hysterical leftwing global warmers must DIE - 2009/11/05 16:38

You mean Rachel Carsen's death-warrant for the Africans, banning DDT and allowing 30 million (at a minimum) people to die in the process from malaria, all to save some f

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Hysterical leftwing global warmers must DIE - 2009/11/05 16:38

Why do the enviros buy debunked, left wing books Roger. Because they are stupid, still wishing and dreaming. HH EE LL LL OO James! Read the thread, before adding your comment. You'll look a lot less stupid that way. The environmental books are not in any way debunked. In comparison to their anti-environmental equivalent, they the environmental books are classics. Yeah. Paul Erlich sure turned us all on didn't he? Scare stuff does that sort of thing. The only thing that bombed from an accuracy perspective was his crackpot population theory. Another leftist panic-attack delusion shot to pieces.

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by R. Lander - 2009/11/05 16:38

Written in 1972, at the height of the ridiculous scares produced by idiot enviro-faggots. Like death by over-population, death by DDT, death by an ice-age(!), death by oil depletion, etc, etc. Do the leftist fringe weirdos EVER get tired of being wrong?? Wrong, you say? Countless people starve each year because they can't feed themselves with local food, and that defines overpopulation (deny it all you want with transport schemes). Also, millions of wild animals die at the hands of a human population that's too big for the land to sustain. Oil has propped up perpetual growth so far, but at a great cost that's really starting to affect balance sheets. Scientists think a viable world population may be as low as 2 billion. Take away cheap energy and the facade will crumble. DDT is a mixed bag. It has been proven to maim animals, which the Right could care less about (people + money is all that matters). I sure wouldn't volunteer to dust myself with DDT, even though it's still used in limited amounts. DDT is persistent for years, which distinguishes it from other pesticides that break down more readily. Instead of ranting like a juvenile idiot, at least get the whole picture on these things. You're not mature enough to be an adult, so where is this vitriol coming from? Did dad wean you on Limbaugh when he got home from the garbage route? You should confine your posts to some teenage angst newsgroup. Scientific ignorance is clearly the least of your afflictions. R. Lander

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by R. Lander - 2009/11/05 16:38

Why do rightist books, movies, and other materials fail so miserably in the free market? The same free market that the rightists love. Most of them are too lazy to read and do serious research on topics they find inconvenient. It's not just environmental issues, it's anything outside their small sphere of knowledge. They go blank when a conservation veers from simple things like money, beer and cigarettes. They're afraid to take that other stuff very seriously because it goes against their inherent smugness. Give them a sound-byte that makes them feel righteous and they're on their way. That's the secret to AM radio's success with conservatives; intellectual laziness at its finest. R. Lander

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by garbonzo - 2009/11/05 16:38

Why do rightist books, movies, and other materials fail so miserably in the free market? The same free market that the rightists love. Just a thought... One possibility is the psychology of liberals: Some are naturally preachy - they think they are the final authority on any subject they choose to speak (write) on. These are the authors, directors, etc of the liberal world. You only need to check out some of the more prolific mouth pieces on this group for good examples of these types. It is not important that they do know what they are talking about, only that they say it often and loud. Others are incapable of independent thought and need to be lead around by the hand: told what to think, what to believe. These are the movie goers and purchasers of the preachy books. These people are are a natural match for the first group. A wonderful synergistic relationship. A relationship that the free marketers capitalize on by publishing the preachy books, distributing the preachy movies and selling popcorn to masses of mindless little drones.

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Written in 1972, at the height of the ridiculous scares produced by idiot enviro-faggots. Like death by over-population, death by DDT, death by an ice-age(!), death by oil depletion, etc, etc. Do the leftist fringe weirdos EVER get tired of being wrong?? Wrong, you say? Countless people starve each year because they can't feed themselves with local food, and that defines overpopulation (deny it all you want with transport schemes). Also, millions of wild animals die at the hands of a human population that's too big for the land to sustain. Oil has propped up perpetual growth so far, but at a great cost that's really starting to affect balance sheets. Scientists think a viable world population may be as low as 2 billion. Take away cheap energy and the facade will crumble. DDT is a mixed bag. It has been proven to maim animals, which the Right could care less about (people + money is all that matters). I sure wouldn't volunteer to dust myself with DDT, even though it's still used in limited amounts. The guy who invented DDT used to eat it to prove to people it was harmless. Nothing ever happened to him out of the ordinary. And people starving has nothing to do with overall food supplies, as Erlich's idiot theory supposed. He predicted MASS starvation the World over. WRONG!!!!

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by R. Lander - 2009/11/05 16:38

The guy who invented DDT used to eat it to prove to people it was harmless. Nothing ever happened to him out of the ordinary. And people starving has nothing to do with overall food supplies, as Erlich's idiot theory supposed. He predicted MASS starvation the World over. WRONG!!!! I said that DDT is a mixed bag, but of course you could care less about its effects on animals. You'd rather dumb everything down to a 5th grade level and cut any negative context. Here's Ehrlich's own response to those claims about population. Read the fine points if you can muster the brainpower. <http://www.grist.org/comments/interactivist/2004/08/09/ehrllich/index1...> The Right is good at citing extreme scenarios and pretending that lesser degrees of damage are meaningless. But people ARE still starving. Animal habitat IS still being displaced by growth. The entire world doesn't have to END for serious problems to exist. The all or nothing, black or white world of the far-Right is not a real place. R. Lander

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by R. Lander - 2009/11/05 16:38

Roger Coppock <rcopp...@adnc.com wrote in message Why do rightist books, movies, and other materials fail so miserably in the free market? The same free market that the rightists love. Just a thought... One possibility is the psychology of liberals: Some are naturally preachy - they think they are the final authority on any subject they choose to speak (write) on. These are the authors, directors, etc of the liberal world. You only need to check out some of the more prolific mouth pieces on this group for good examples of these types. It is not important that they do know what they are talking about, only that they say it often and loud. Others are incapable of independant thought and need to be lead around by the hand: told what to think, what to believe. These are the movie goers and purchasers of the preachy books. These people are a natural match for the first group. A wonderful synergistic relationship. A relationship that the free marketers capitalize on by publishing the preachy books, distributing the preachy movies and selling popcorn to masses of mindless little drones. Get real. That can be applied to extremists on either side. The evidence is what really matters. You need to track those who keep ignoring science when it doubts their worldview. It's nonsense to claim that liberals have the corner on preachy books when the Bible has been a foe of science for so long. Global warming denial is the latest in a long line of anthropocentric delusions. If a book seems preachy, debunk its content, not its fervor. R. Lander

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Roger Coppock - 2009/11/05 16:38

The guy who invented DDT used to eat it to prove to people it was harmless. Nothing ever happened to him out of the ordinary. You're not probably not old enough to remember. A CBS news crew filched the bottle he claimed to drink his DDT out of, and found that it contained no DDT. (My memory may be fuzzy, but I think the reporter was a very young Mike Wallace.)

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by garbonzo - 2009/11/05 16:38

Roger Coppock <rcopp...@adnc.com wrote in message Why do rightist books, movies, and other materials fail so miserably in the free market? The same free market that the rightists love. Just a thought... One possibility is the psychology of liberals: Some are naturally preachy - they think they are the final authority on any subject they choose to speak (write) on. These are the authors, directors, etc of the liberal world. You only need to check out some of the more prolific mouth pieces on this group for good examples of these types. It is not important that they do know what they are talking about, only that they say it often and loud. Others are incapable of independant thought and need to be lead around by the hand: told what to think, what to believe. These are the movie goers and purchasers of the preachy books. These people are a natural match for the first group. A wonderful synergistic relationship. A relationship that the free marketeers capitalize on by publishing the preachy books, distributing the preachy movies and selling popcorn to masses of mindless little drones. Get real. That can be applied to extremists on either side. The evidence is what really matters. You need to track those who keep ignoring science when it doubts their worldview. It's nonsense to claim that liberals have the corner on preachy books when the Bible has been a foe of science for so long. Global warming denial is the latest in a long line of anthropocentric delusions. If a book seems preachy, debunk its content, not its fervor. R. Lander It's not so much about facts, there are plenty of 'facts' to go around, get edited and distorted in order to 'prove' whatever you want. Its more about attitude. Roger, Lloyd and several other prolific posters in this group (and yes, some on the otherside of the debate too) generally take the position that if you dare question anything about GW, then you are a(n) %^%))%&). Their position is that ALL respected scientists agree on (you fill in the blanks). If one presents contradictory evidence from another respected scientist, the pat response is to discredit the scientist, their findings and the ancestry of the poster. Obviously the definintion of respected scientist is someone that agrees with their position. On the specific subjeet of GW, what I see presented in this group and much of the popular media seems to be more about coorelation of events than about causation: Man creates CO, CO contributes to greenhouse warming, therefore man's creation of CO is causing the earth's to raise dangerously. The GW group has the attitude that 'they have it right': There is no room for error. What hubris. Since Katrina, the GWers warned us that it was only going to get worse wait until the '06 season. Well, so far knock on wood, it has been a fairly quiet season in the Atlantic/ Caribbean Basin. Even the East Pacific has been fairly quiet. The GW response, oh....ummmm, yea ... you are confusing local weather with global. If the '06 season was (or turns out to be) worse than last year, then it will be proof of GW. Kinda of a win-win for them and lose-lose for anyone who disagrees. But, I guess, this is the nature of all these 'religious' issues You cheap shot the Bible as a foe of science. IMHO opinion that is a STUPID position to take. (FWIW I am neither a bible thumper nor a very religious type). If you take positions like that, you loose a bunch of creditibility points. The Lloyds and Rogers of this group run negative creditibility accounts with me

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Bawana - 2009/11/05 16:38

Here's Ehrlich's own response to those claims about population. Read the fine points if you can muster the brainpower. <http://www.grist.org/comments/interactivist/2004/08/09/ehrllich/index1...> I read it... What a waste of time. Ehrlich would be selling used cars if it weren't for ignorant fools like you.

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by James - 2009/11/05 16:38

Yeah. Paul Erlich sure turned us all on didn't he? Scare stuff does that That's spelled Ehrlich. sort of thing. Patrick Michaels, Meltdown. (Oct 2005) Amazon Rank #262,264 262,263 books sell better than this less than one year old paperback work published by Cato. It'll be pulp in another year or two. Meanwhile, Ehrlich's population bomb, published in 1968, is in several editions of reprint and has also gone into audio and video. Ehrlich's book is a classic 4 decades later. Michaels' book will be scrapped, not worth the paper it's printed on, just a few years after it was published. Apparently, neither is Ehrlich's but you doom folks who like a good scary story will buy it anyway. How many copies do you have? - Hide quoted text -- Show quoted text -

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by James - 2009/11/05 16:38

Why do rightist books, movies, and other materials fail so miserably in the free market? The same free market that the rightists love. Most of them are too lazy to read and do serious research on topics they find inconvenient. It's not just environmental issues, it's anything outside their small sphere of knowledge. They go blank when a conservation veers from simple things like money, beer and cigarettes. They're afraid to take that other stuff very seriously because it goes against their inherent smugness. Give them a sound-byte that makes them feel righteous and they're on their way. That's the secret to AM radio's success with conservatives; intellectual laziness at its finest. Yes, all those intellectual leftists are deeply engrossed in Air America. All two of them. The rest claim to be listening to NPR but they rarely do because it's usually over their heads.

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by R. Lander - 2009/11/05 16:38

Ehrlich would be selling used cars if it weren't for ignorant fools like you. Monkey see Bawana, monkey eat Bawana. Life simple. Rush God. R. Lander

=====

doomsday movie Good book, "The Doomsday Syndrome."

Posted by Bawana - 2009/11/05 16:38

Ehrlich would be selling used cars if it weren't for ignorant fools like you. Monkey see Bawana, monkey eat Bawana. Life simple. Rush God. Just another example of a secular religious nutter making up lies and believing them.

=====